Course enrollment for the Fall 2013 – Winter 2014 academic session is open. If students experience difficulty enrolling in PPAS course, please call the SPPA at 416-736-5384 or email at lapssppa@yorku.ca so we may assist you.

**Student Advising**

**Continuing Students (24 credits or more completed at York):**
Students can receive one-on-one academic advising from the Office for Continuing Students, Centre for Student Success (103 Central Square, (416) 736-5222, laps@yorku.ca).

**New Students (less than 24 credits completed at York):**
Students can receive one-on-one academic advising from the Office for New Students, Centre for Student Success (103 Central Square, (416) 736-5022, lapsinfo@yorku.ca).

For advising concerning your major specifically and its requirements, kindly contact the SPPA main office or email the Undergraduate Program Director at: sppaupd@yorku.ca
Please include your name, student ID number and questions/issues to be addressed.

**Courses Open for Enrolment!**

**PPAS 3120 Science, Technology, and Public Policy**  
Fall 2013 - Tuesday at 11:30AM  
Examines the role of science and technology in policy-making. The course focuses on difficulties faced by legal, administrative and political policy-makers when they are required to make decisions based upon scientific information, as well as discussing more general topics in science, technology, and public policy. Course credit exclusion: AP/PPAS 3120 6.00

**PPAS 3122 Science Policy in Context**  
Winter 2014 - Tuesday at 11:30AM  
Drawing on material from the prerequisite course AP/PPAS 3120 3.00, this course looks at how science policy is developed in a variety of contexts such as environmental regulation, healthcare, and the regulation of scientific research. Prerequisite: AP/PPAS 3120 3.00 or permission of the instructor. Course credit exclusion: AP/PPAS 3120 6.00.

**URGENT! SPPA Hours of Operation Change F/W 2013-2014**

At the request of the Office of the Dean, Faculty of Liberal Arts and Professional Studies, the hours of operation for the School of Public Policy and Administration effective September 2013 will remain as 8:30am-7:00pm.

Please disregard the notices previously sent on July 3, 2013. We will update you should any future changes in our hours of operation take place.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact the SPPA at 416.736.5384 or lapssppa@yorku.ca.
Faculty Features

Harper helped push world toward austerity: McQuaig

Part of larger agenda that’s led to dramatic rise in inequality

By: Linda McQuaig Columnist, Published on Tue Jul 30 2013
http://www.thestar.com/opinion/commentary/2013/07/30/harper_helped_push_world_toward_austerity_mcquaig.html

In June 2010, the transformation of the city’s downtown core into a pseudo war zone seemed like the worst aspect of the Harper government’s handling of the G20 summit in Toronto.

But perhaps just as insidious was Stephen Harper’s personal role at that summit in pushing the developed world to abandon stimulus spending and veer sharply toward austerity.

That embrace of austerity has led to deep government spending cuts, with devastating consequences, particularly in some southern European nations. Canadians have suffered, too.

Harper likes to boast that he’s shepherded the Canadian economy to a full recovery from the 2008 crash — even though 1.4 million Canadians remain unemployed. Our employment rate is stuck at 61.9 per cent, down from 63.8 per cent just before the crash, notes Jim Stanford, economist for the Canadian Auto Workers.

This explains Canada’s poor ranking in a recent OECD Employment Outlook report, where Canada ranks 20th out of 34 nations.

Similarly, Canada’s Parliamentary Budget Office estimated last fall that Ottawa’s spending reductions will cost Canada approximately 125,000 jobs in 2016. (Reports like that angered the Harper government, which last spring ended Parliamentary Budget Officer Kevin Page’s impressive stint in the watchdog job.)

The embrace of austerity at the 2010 Toronto summit was a dramatic reversal of the stimulus spending that the world’s rich nations had quite effectively adopted to counter the devastating 2008 financial crash — in line with the lessons taught by the great 20th century British economist John Maynard Keynes.

Keynes argued that, when businesses are unwilling to invest during a major downturn, the only solution is for governments to invest, and on a massive scale. This insight sharply contradicted the dogma of austerity that prevailed after the 1929 crash, prolonging the 1930s Depression. Although fiercely resisted, Keynes’ insight was eventually accepted.

But right-wing economists, including Stephen Harper, have long bristled at Keynesianism — with its important role for government — and opposed its revival after the 2008 crash. (The minority Harper government only introduced a stimulus package in Canada because the opposition threatened to topple it otherwise.)

By early 2010, Keynesianism was losing ground on the international scene. But it was the G20 summit in Toronto later that year which “above all” resulted in the world’s rich nations changing course and embracing austerity, according to a recent article by British financial journalist Martin Wolf in the New York Review of Books.

Harper played a key role in that lamentable change of direction. At his urging, the G20 nations agreed to commit themselves to halve their deficits by 2013 — a draconian approach that returned the developed world to obsessing about deficits and ignoring unemployment.

(Ironically, the high unemployment produced by austerity reduces tax revenues and increases social spending, making deficit-reduction difficult. Much to its embarrassment, the Harper government has had to revise its deficit estimates upward. So far this year, Canada’s deficit is rising, not falling.)
But the fixation on deficits, which has dominated public discourse for much of the last 30 years, has helped divert attention from the fact that austerity is part of a larger agenda (including tax cuts and privatization) that’s redistributed money toward the top.

While members of the public are guilted into believing they’re living beyond their means and must tighten their belts, they’ve been distracted from noticing the transfer of income and wealth to the rich.

Thaddeus Hwong, a professor of tax policy at York University, has calculated just how much inequality has increased in Canada.

Using the model developed by University of California professor Emmanuel Sáez, one of the world’s leading experts in income inequality, Hwong found that between 1982 and 2010, the top-earning 1 per cent of Canadians captured fully 60.3 per cent of all the income growth in Canada.

That was even more dramatic than the U.S., where the top 1 per cent captured 59.6 per cent of income growth in the same period. This highlights that, while inequality is more extreme in the U.S., it is growing faster in Canada.

But with all those deficits to obsess about, who’s noticing the rich, slightly offstage, quietly getting richer.

To contextualize Professor Hwong’s perspectives on tax law and policy, see http://www.policyalternatives.ca/publications/reports/social-benefits-and-economic-costs-taxation.